

LENAWEE-LIVINGSTON-MONROE-WASHTENAW
OVERSIGHT POLICY BOARD

VISION

"We envision that our communities have both an awareness of the impact of substance abuse and use, and the ability to embrace wellness, recovery and strive for a greater quality of life."

AGENDA

June 22, 2017

**705 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor
Patrick Barrie Conference Room
9:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.**

1. ***Introductions & Welcome New Board Members***– 5 minutes
2. Approval of Agenda (Board Action) – 2 minutes
3. Approval of 5-25-2017 OPB Minutes {Att. #1} (Board Action) – 5 minutes
4. Audience Participation – 3 minutes per person
5. Old Business – 45 minutes
 - a. Finance Report – 15 minutes {Att. #2}
 - b. RFP Funding Recommendations {Att. #3, 3a}
 1. Recommendation for Prevention services and DYTUR
 2. Recommendations Specialty Treatment & Recovery Services
6. New Business – 15 minutes
 - a. Prevention Desk Audit {Att.#4}
7. Report from Regional Board (Discussion) – 5 minutes
 - a. 298 Budget Language
8. SUD Director Updates (Discussion) – 5 minutes
 - a. STR Grant RFP
 - b. Discuss Summer meeting time.

Next meeting: TBD...

Parking Lot:

**LENAWEE-LIVINGSTON-MONROE-WASHTENAW
OVERSIGHT POLICY BOARD
Summary of March 23, 2017 meeting
705 N. Zeeb Road
Ann Arbor, MI 48103**

Members Present: David Oblak, Kim Comerzan, Dianne McCormick, William Green, Tom Waldecker, Dave O'Dell, John Lapham, Blake LaFuente, Susan Webb, Blake LaFuente, Monique

Members Absent: Charles Coleman, Mark Cochran, Ralph Tillotson, Amy Fullerton

Guests:

Staff Present: Stephannie Weary, Marci Scalera, Suzanne Stolz, Jane Goerge, Katie Postmus, Anne Marshall, Dana Darrow, Miranda

A. D. Oblak called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m.

1. Introductions
2. Approval of the agenda

**Motion by T. Waldecker, supported by J. Lapham, to approve the agenda
Motion carried**

3. Approval of March 23, 2017 minutes

**Motion by J. Lapham, supported by D. O'Dell, to approve the March 23, 2017 OPB
minutes
Motion carried**

4. Audience Participation

) None

5. Old Business

- a. Finance Report

) S. Stolz presented. Discussion followed.

6. New Business

- a. State RFI Report

) CMHPSM was awarded 4 grants, all of which are focused on the opiate epidemic.

- b. Core Provider Discussion

) M. Scalera provided an overview of the current system, and the 2 models being considered.

- c. RFP Review Submission Documents

) M. Scalera provided an overview.

) OPB reviewed the proposals that were received for Special Initiatives, Treatment, and Prevention.

) OPB received the list of previously funded programs.

7. Report from Regional Board

- J **Review PA2 policy update; discuss funding strategy**
- J While reviewing budget amendments, the Regional Board also discussed PA2 dollars. They wanted to know why there was so much PA2 money sitting in the bank.
- J The Regional Board understands that OPB controls PA2 dollars, but did suggest that OPB have a mechanism for allocating and monitoring PA2 dollars, a plan, target that says, “we have too much money we need to spend some.”
- J Currently OPB has an interest in not making any major decisions until it’s clear what’s happening from the ACA perspective.
- J D. McCormick would like to prioritize PA2 funds, and requested that a copy of the strategic plan be re-sent to OPB members.
- J OPB to Regional Board: OPB won’t be making any major decisions until ACA situation is sorted out. OPB and staff are also looking at proposals for service via the RFP responses.

8. SUD Director Report

- a. United to Face Addiction Event Support
 - J M. Scalera provided an update.
- b. STR Grant Information
 - J M. Scalera provided an update.
- c. Other Issues
 - J The state has approved a Veterans Coordinator position, 1 for each region, who will help to negotiate services for veterans.
 - J M. Scalera provided an overview on the Michigan State Police’s Angel Project, in which peers can become Angels. Angels are peer mentor who assist in getting people addicted to opiates into treatment.

Motion by T. Waldecker, supported by W. Green, to adjourn the meeting
Motion carried

Adjourned at 11:16

Community Mental Health Partnership Of Southeast Michigan
SUD SUMMARY OF REVENUE AND EXPENSE BY FUND
 April 2017 FYTD

Summary Of Revenue & Expense	Funding Source					Total Funding Sources
	Medicaid	Healthy Michigan	SUD - Block Grant	SUD-COBO/PA2	Other	
Revenues						
Funding From MDCH	\$ 777,216	\$ 1,865,655	\$ 2,154,863		\$ -	\$ 4,797,733
PA2/COBO Tax Funding	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 678,271	\$ -	\$ 678,271
Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total Revenues	<u>\$ 777,216</u>	<u>\$ 1,865,655</u>	<u>\$ 2,154,863</u>	<u>\$ 678,271</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 5,476,004</u>
Expenses						
<u>Funding for County SUD Programs</u>						
Lenawee	\$ 187,521	\$ 374,668	\$ 182,517	\$ 48,535	\$ -	\$ 793,241
Livingston	\$ 137,032	\$ 252,968	\$ 480,732	\$ 93,688	\$ -	\$ 964,420
Monroe	\$ 102,313	\$ 248,072	\$ 428,038	\$ 88,421	\$ -	\$ 866,844
Washtenaw	\$ 645,245	\$ 1,256,655	\$ 1,002,213	\$ 309,140	\$ -	\$ 3,213,253
Total SUD Expenses	<u>\$ 1,072,112</u>	<u>\$ 2,132,362</u>	<u>\$ 2,093,500</u>	<u>\$ 539,785</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 5,837,758</u>
<u>Other Operating Costs</u>						
SUD Use Tax	\$ 46,478	\$ 111,566	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 158,044
SUD HICA Claims Tax	\$ 5,829	\$ 13,992	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 19,821
Total Operating Costs	<u>\$ 52,307</u>	<u>\$ 125,558</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 177,865</u>
Administrative Cost Allocation	<u>\$ 63,046</u>	<u>\$ 125,375</u>	<u>\$ 162,531</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 350,951</u>
Total Expenses	<u>\$ 1,187,464</u>	<u>\$ 2,383,295</u>	<u>\$ 2,256,030</u>	<u>\$ 539,785</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 6,366,574</u>
Revenues Over/(Under) Expenses	\$ (410,248)	\$ (517,640)	\$ (101,168)	\$ 138,486	\$ -	\$ (890,570)

PA2 by County	Revenues	Revenues Over/(Under) Expenses
	Lenawee	\$ 33,953
Livingston	\$ 102,742	\$ 9,054
Monroe	\$ 74,027	\$ (14,394)
Washtenaw	\$ 297,981	\$ (11,159)
Totals	<u>\$ 508,703</u>	<u>\$ (31,082)</u>

Unallocated PA2	
Lenawee	\$ 1,078,205
Livingston	\$ 2,743,170
Monroe	\$ 708,058
Washtenaw	\$ 2,663,366
Total	<u>\$ 7,192,799</u>

CMHPSM SUD OVERSIGHT POLICY BOARD

ACTION REQUEST

Board Meeting Date: June 22, 2017

Action Step:

Approve recommendations made by SUD Prevention Proposal Review Team for FY 2017-2018 prevention programs and DYTUR.

Background: Results of the Prevention RFP

RFP #2017A – SUD Prevention

Reviews In-Brief:

Recommend Funding:

- Livingston County Catholic Charities
- U of M Regional Alliance for Healthy Schools
- Monroe County Intermediate School District
- St. Joseph Mercy Chelsea

Recommend Funding at Lower Amounts

- Catholic Charities of Southeast Michigan
- Eastern Michigan University
- Catholic Social Services of Washtenaw County

Low Scores

- Hegira Programs, Inc.
- Lenawee CMH Authority

RFQ #2017E – Designated Youth Tobacco Use Representative

Reviews In-Brief:

- Karen Bergbower & Associates (97%) - Recommend Funding
- Lenawee CMH Authority (78%)

Recommendation:

COUNTY	AMOUNT BG \$,1075,661	AMOUNT PA2	TOTAL FUNDING
LENAWEE	\$ 42,118*	TBD	TBD
LIVINGSTON	\$357,663	\$ 4,000 \$ 37,441	\$399,104
MONROE	\$117,469 \$114,318		\$231,787
WASHTENAW	\$ 69,692 \$ 90,657 \$ 58,800 \$103,937	\$ 55,428 \$ 48,509	\$427,023
REGIONAL DYTUR	\$121,007		\$121,007
TOTAL	1,075,661	\$145,378	\$1,342,046

Attachment #3a - June 2017

Table 1: PA2 Funding Strategy

PA2 Funding	PA2 Reserves as of FY2017	Hold 1/3 of Reserves	2/3 of Reserve Made Available for Strategic Funding Spend Down	Annual Reserve Funding Spend Down Over Three Years	Annual PA2 Revenue Projection	Recommended Strategic Annual Funding Levels
Lenawee	\$ 1,078,205	\$ 359,402	\$ 718,803	\$ 239,601	\$ 101,859	\$ 341,460
Livingston	\$ 2,743,170	\$ 914,390	\$ 1,828,780	\$ 609,593	\$ 305,226	\$ 914,819
Monroe	\$ 708,058	\$ 236,019	\$ 472,039	\$ 157,346	\$ 222,081	\$ 379,427
Washtenaw	\$ 2,663,366	\$ 887,789	\$ 1,775,577	\$ 591,859	\$ 893,943	\$ 1,485,802

Table 2: Recommended Proposal Funding Summary

PA2 Funding	A. Total Annual PA2 Amount Requested in RFP Responses	B. Total Annual PA2 Proposals Recommended Funding Round #1	C. Recommended Strategic Annual PA2 Funding Available	D. Difference between Funding Round #1 Proposals and Strategic Annual Funding	E. Total Annual PA2 Funding Required for Potential Funding Round #2
Lenawee	\$ 501,042	\$ 158,839	\$ 341,460	\$ 182,621	\$ 342,203
Livingston	\$ 545,441	\$ 381,041	\$ 914,819	\$ 533,778	\$ 164,400
Monroe	\$ 842,523	\$ 170,942	\$ 379,427	\$ 208,485	\$ 671,581
Washtenaw	\$ 1,814,715	\$ 1,208,289	\$ 1,485,802	\$ 277,513	\$ 606,426

The strategic annual PA2 funding level was calculated by holding back 1/3 of each counties PA2 reserve, and then allocating the remaining 2/3 out over the next three fiscal years in addition to annual projected PA2 revenues.

CMHPSM staff have determined that proposals receiving a score of less than 80% undergo additional review and any potential award recommendations be presented next month as a second funding round.

Table 3: Proposals Recommended for Funding Round #1

Proposal	RFP Score %	Total Requested	COMMENTS	Lenawee PA2	Livingston PA2	Monroe PA2	Washtenaw PA2	CMHPSM Block Grant	OTHER CMHPSM FUNDS
LIVCMH2	98.4	\$ 501,735	ENGAGEMENT CENTER - (40% BG)split between BG for staffing. Request for funds increases for year 2 and year 3		\$ 301,041			\$ 200,694	
HNV2	98	\$ 28,541	MAT recovery home				\$ 28,541		
LIVCMH1	98	\$ 40,000	Funding partners Wrap Around		\$ 40,000				
HNV1	97.2	\$ 79,723	WRAP RCO				\$ 79,723		
OZONE	95.5	\$ 150,000	SBIRT & integrated health - Adolescents. Could use some STR grant funds for SBIRT				\$ 150,000		
DAWN INC	95	\$ 41,888	Extended peer case management for opiate addicted clients				\$ 41,888		
LENAWEE JUVENILE COURT	95	\$ 143,089	Intensive Home Based Tx - Adolescents	\$ 143,089					
AVALON 1&2	94.6	\$ 155,000	Integrated health, peers in housing plus harm reduction resources				\$ 155,000		
HEGIRA	93.5	\$ 114,390	SBIRT & PEERS, integrated health -HOPE CLINIC				\$ 114,390		
HNV4	93	\$ 400,000	ENGAGEMENT CENTER - (40% BG)split between BG for staffing.				\$ 240,000	\$ 160,000	
UNIFIED	93	\$ 290,460	Outreach and Training for Opiate addicted clients. Project Red type activities. May be duplicative to other initiatives funded by state. Will look at other funding sources						\$ 290,460
HALO	92	\$ 15,750	GRASS ROOTS Family Awareness, stigma busting	\$ 15,750					
GROWTH WORKS 1	91.7	\$ 59,159	Juvenile Court kids drug screens in community - discuss using peers for added impact				\$ 59,159		

Proposal	RFP Score %	Total Requested	COMMENTS	Lenawee PA2	Livingston PA2	Monroe PA2	Washtenaw PA2	CMHPSM Block Grant	OTHER CMHPSM FUNDS
HNVS	89.8	\$ 379,272	Community Case Management Team, Integrated Health, SBIRT. Funding can be mixed with BG and Possibly STR. ESTIMATE 50%/SPLIT				\$ 189,636	\$ 189,636	
GROWTH WORKS2	88.9	\$ 7,000	Prevention education session for families and kids				\$ 7,000		
HNVS	88.7	\$ 317,410	WOMEN'S SPECIALTY				\$ 142,952	\$ 174,458	
CCSEM2	86.1	\$ 119,866	Case management and peers for all populations. Could also be funded with BG in part			\$ 63,291		\$ 56,575	
LIVCMH3	85.5	\$ 40,000	Wake Up Livingston Coordinator... may be able to use STR funds		\$ 40,000				
CCSEM1	84.2	\$ 194,293	WOMEN'S SPECIALTY			\$ 47,651		\$ 146,642	
TOUCHSTONE	82.3	\$ 60,000	Recovery Housing			\$ 60,000			
Recommended PA2 Awards for Funding Round #1				\$ 158,839	\$ 381,041	\$ 170,942	\$ 1,208,289		

Table #4: Proposals Recommended for Further Review Prior to Potential Funding in Round #2

Proposal	RFP Score %	Total Requested	COMMENTS	Lenawee PA2	Livingston PA2	Monroe PA2	Washtenaw PA2	CMHPSM Block Grant	OTHER CMHPSM FUNDS
WCCMH	78.8	\$385,787	Duplicates some existing services. Will recommend a reduced amount of funding for partial services and request detailed collaboration efforts.				\$ 385,787		
ccsem4	77.9	\$119,886	OORT - negotiate program/performance improvements. May be able to use STR funds			\$ 119,886			
SAHL	76.8	\$122,090	Overdose Prevention... duplicates unified. Negotiate program/performance improvements. Also may be able to fund with STR grant			\$ 122,090			
CCSEM3	75.1	\$285,011	ENGAGEMENT CENTER - negotiate program/performance improvements			\$ 285,011			
WHI	73.9	\$47,989	negotiate program/performance improvements				\$ 47,989		
EVERFI	73		negotiate program/performance improvements	\$28,500	\$28,500	\$28,500	\$28,500		
HOLY CROSS	73	\$20,000	NO FUNDING	\$ 20,000					
LENAWEE CMH	70.25	\$293,703	ENGAGEMENT CENTER: negotiate program/performance improvements	\$ 293,703					
YOGA	68	\$144,150	Not Recommended for Funding				\$ 144,150		
PAULA'S HOUSE	67.6	\$64,064	negotiate program/performance improvements			\$ 64,064			
M.A.P.	63.3	\$52,030	negotiate program/performance improvements			\$ 52,030			
BREAKING THE CHAIN	42.4	\$135,900	Not Recommended for Funding		\$ 135,900				
Recommended Further Review during Round #2				\$ 342,203	\$ 164,400	\$ 671,581	\$ 606,426		



Lenawee
Livingston
Monroe
Washtenaw

SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION SERVICES

PREVENTION PROGRAM MONITORING

FY 2016-2017

All CMHPSM funded prevention programs are monitored on a regular basis. The mid-year point allows for a more in-depth analysis based on a variety of factors including: the amount of time for program implementation, the submission of Outcome Progress Reports, EBI Program Assessment/Fidelity Forms, and Coalition Community Sector Checklists (where applicable). Prevention programs are reviewed from multiple perspectives, including: financial, contractual, MPDS entries, programming, and progress on planned activities in relationship to outcomes.

For those areas that have not produced the results anticipated, either a ‘course correction’ is required, or a reduction in funds may be warranted. The CMHPSM promotes the rectification of program implementation to enhance the opportunity for successful efforts within the respective targeted community. Thus, feedback and consultation are provided where necessary.

FY 2017 Desk Audit:

In lieu of a formal site visit, CMHPSM Prevention Coordinators conducted a desk audit of all contracted Prevention Providers for FY 2017. Providers submitted documentation as proof of their compliance with the standards outlined in the FY17 CMHPSM Prevention Desk Audit Tool, which includes a variety of review components derived from the CMHPSM’s prevention contract with providers, SUD Administrative Rules, LARA licensing requirements, etc.

Audit submissions were reviewed and scored in March and Providers were offered an opportunity to submit corrective action responses to improve their scores. Overall, Prevention Providers scored exceptionally well; the average desk audit score after corrective action review was 98% and only one provider scored below the 85% threshold. Prevention Coordinators will continue to work with the low-scoring Provider to ensure their compliance with CMHPSM standards.

Notes:

EBI Evidence-based Intervention
MPDS Michigan Prevention Data System

For additional information and examples of monitoring tools, please see the *CMHPSM Substance Abuse Prevention Monitoring Procedures* (booklet).